

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

cl

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/801,852	03/08/2001	Shu-Jen David Chiang	ON0163NP	6300	
20306	7590 10/17/2005		EXAMINER		
MCDONNELL BOEHNEN HULBERT & BERGHOFF LLP			SLOBODYANSKY, ELIZABETH		
	KER DRIVE		ARTIBUT	DADED AND CHED	
32ND FLOO	)R		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
CHICAGO, IL 60606			1652		
			DATE MAILED: 10/17/2003	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/801,852	CHIANG ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Elizabeth Slobodyansky, PhD	1652	٠

	Elizabeth Slobodyansky, PhD	1652	•
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>30 September 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THI	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of ving replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	Appeal. To avoid aba fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	g date of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire is Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 76	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE 06.07(f).	g date of the final rejecti E FIRST REPLY WAS F	on. ILED WITHIN
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on 30 September 2005. A         of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a             appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any repl     </li> <li>AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	)), or any extension thereof (37 CF	R 41.37(e)), to avoid	dismissal of the
3. X The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief	, will <u>not</u> be entered b	ecause
(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co	nsideration and/or search (see NO		
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	· ·	4	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bef appeal; and/or	ter form for appeal by materially re	ducing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rei	ected claims.	
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1.	7 77	mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		•	, , , , , ,
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is profit The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		ll be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: 1, 3-6 and 8-11. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE		•	
The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good anwas not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	vercome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fai	Is to provide a
10.  The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ned.
<ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet.</li> </ol>	t does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowar	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s)	
13.  Other:		Sickoci Elizabeth Slobody	Lyanstee
		Elizabeth Slobody Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1652	ransky, PhD

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Applicants added new claims 14-16 that would require new consideration and/or additional search..

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: for the reasons of record in view of non-entry of the amendment. The essence of the current arguments was addressed in the Final rejection mailed March 31, 2005.